

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/924,966

Applicant(s)

TAKEYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Adrienne C. Johnstone

Art Unit

1733

## All Participants:

(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.

(2) Carl Schaukowitch.

Status of Application: rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 18 June 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicants confirmed their previously stated intention to allow this application to go abandoned in favor of a divisional application, filed concurrently with the May 10, 2004 extension of time in this application.*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)